

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/069,595	0	6/04/2002	Peter Ahnblad	1506-1002	3709
466	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &			THOMPSON, KATHRYN L		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3763	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/069,595	AHNBLAD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u> </u>	Kathryn L. Thompson	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Au	<u>ugust 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	or the octained copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	A) D Intonion Comme	(DTO 442)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 9-20 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Independent claims 9 and 10 recite the combination of a nasal rinser. Independent Claim 1 recites the subcombination of an outlet portion. The subcombination is more specific than the combination in that it includes a nozzle-shaped channel.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -


(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.


Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodnow et al (US 4,300,545) and Markus (US 5,843,043). Goodnow et al and Markus disclose an outlet portion comprising an outlet end, a connection end and a nozzle

Art Unit: 3763

shaped channel between these ends that shows a restriction section and an expanded outlet section, an enlarged circumference portion at the outlet end, and a receptacle provided with a piston for emptying of the rinsing liquid (See Figures below).

# Goodnow et al





Application/Control Number: 10/069,595

Art Unit: 3763

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodnow et al and Markus in view of Flagg (US 1,507,475). Goodnow et al and Markus disclose all of the claimed limitations except wherein the receptacle is a flexible, balloon shaped body, which is compressible for emptying of the rinsing liquid. Flagg discloses wherein the receptacle is a flexible, balloon shaped body (2), which is compressible for emptying of the rinsing liquid (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Flagg to modify the inventions of Goodnow et al and Markus and connect the outlet portion to the receptacle/bulb of Flagg since compressible bulbs are notoriously well known in the art as a means for storing and emptying its contents.

Art Unit: 3763

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on August 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose, "wherein a diameter of the expanded outlet section is greater than a diameter of the restriction section. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Markus clearly illustrates that a diameter of the expanded outlet section is greater than a diameter of the restriction section (see figure above).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,595

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L. Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KI T

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHECI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCENSION TECHNOLOGY CESTER 3793